CLARK HILL STRASBURGER 1 Charles "Scott" Nichols, SBN 224257 Jack G. Carnegie, Admitted Pro Hac Vice Zachary W. Thomas, SBN 298174 909 Fannin, Suite 2300 Houston, TX 77010 Tel: (713) 951-5600 3 4 Fax: (713) 951-5660 scott.nichols@clarkhillstrasburger.com 5 zach.thomas@ clarkhillstrasburger.com 6 WILLIAMS KHERKHER LAW FIRM E. Armistead "Armi" Easterby, Admitted Pro Hac Vice Sean McCarthy, Admitted Pro Hac Vice 7 8441 Gulf Freeway, Suite 600 8 Houston, Texas 77017 Tel: (713) 230-2200 9 Fax: (713) 643.6226 aeasterby@williamskherkher.com 10 smccarthy@williamskherkher.com 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, and Counterclaim Defendants Bay City Surgery Center, 12 Inc., Medical Plaza of San Pedro, Inc., PACU, Inc., Minimally Invasive Surgical Team of Glendale, Inc., and Southbay Spine Group, Inc. 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 BAY CITY SURGERY CENTER, INC.; § Case No. 2:15-cv-6209 MEDICAL PLAZA OF SAN PEDRO, § 16 INC.; PACU, INC.; MINIMALLY INVÁSIVE SURGICAL TEAM OF GLENDALE, INC.; S.H.A.R.P. TREATMENT OF SOUTH BAY, INC. 17 STATEMENT OF GENUINE 18 AND SOUTHBAY SPINE GROUP, DISPUTES OF MATERIAL FACT IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR INC. 19 PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiffs, (DKT. 180) 20 VS. Judge: Hon. Michael W. Fitzgerald 21 INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE & WAREHOUSE UNION-PACIFIC 22 MARITIME ASSOCIATION WELFARE PLAN BOARD OF 23 TRUSTEES; INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE & WAREHOUSE 24 UNION-PACIFIC MARITIME ASSOCIATION WELFARE PLAN 25 Defendants. 26 27 STATEMENT OF GENUINE DISPUTES OF MATERIAL FACT IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL 28 SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. 180) CASE NO.: 15-CV-6209 MWF (AFM)

3231306.1/SPH/31974/0102/041218

INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE & 1 WAREHOUSE UNION-PACIFIC 2 MARITIME ASSOCIATION WELFARE PLAN BOARD OF TRUSTEES; INTERNATIONAL 3 LONGSHORE & WAREHOUSE UNION-PACIFIC MARITIME 4 ASSOCIATION WELFARE PLAN 5 Counterclaimants, 6 VS. BAY CITY SURGERY CENTER, INC., MEDICAL PLAZA OF SAN PEDRO, 7 8 INC. PACU. INC., MINIMALLY INVASIVE SURGICAL TEAM OF GLENDALE, INC., SOUTHBAY SPINE GROUP, INC., COSTAL VIEW 9 10 GASTROENTEROLOGY, INC., AND COASTAL VIEW GASTROENTEROLOGY OF SOUTH 11 BAY, INC., 12 Counter-Defendants. 13 14 15 16

## STATEMENT OF GENUINE DISPUTES OF MATERIAL FACT IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiffs BAY CITY SURGERY CENTER, INC.; MEDICAL PLAZA OF SAN PEDRO, INC.; PACU, INC.; MINIMALLY INVASIVE SURGICAL TEAM OF GLENDALE, INC., S.H.A.R.P. TREATMENT OF SOUTH BAY, INC.; and SOUTHBAY SPINE GROUP, INC. ("Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and as authorized representatives of their patients whose medical charges are at issue, file this Statement of Genuine Disputes of Material Fact pursuant to L.R. 56-2 in order to aid in the disposition of Document 180, Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.

2

STATEMENT OF GENUINE DISPUTES OF MATERIAL FACT IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. 180)

CASE NO.: 15-CV-6209 MWF (AFM)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2	CONTROVERTING FACT	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
3		
4	Paragraph 6.24 states:	Barton Decl., at ¶¶ 8 (Ex. A), 12.
5	6.24. No Benefits, monies, or property of the Welfare Fund shall	
6	be subject in any manner to anticipation, alienation, sale,	
7	transfer, assignment, pledge, encumbrance, or charge by any	
8	Longshoreman, Pensioner, Social Security Retiree, or any other	
9	person for any purpose other than by the Trustees for purposes herein	
10	provided, and any attempt to do so shall be void; provided, that (a)	
11	pursuant to Section 609(b) of ERISA, (i) payment for Benefits with	
12	respect to a person with Eligibility shall be made in accordance with	
13	any assignment of rights made by or on behalf of such person as	
14	required by a state plan for medical	
15	assistance approved under title XIX of the Social Security Act pursuant to section 1912(a)(1) of such Act (as	
16	in effect on the date of the enactment of the Omnibus Budget	
17	Reconciliation Act of 1993) (hereinafter referred to as a "State	
18	Approved Medical Assistance Plan"), and (ii) to the extent that	
19	payment has been made under a State Approved Medical Assistance	
20	Plan in any case for Benefits, for    which the Plan has a legal liability,	
21	payment by the Plan for such Benefits will be made in accordance	
22	with any state law that provides that	
23	the State has acquired the rights with respect to a Participant to such payment for such Benefits; (b)	
24	payment for Benefits with respect to a person with Eligibility shall be	
25	made in accordance with any assignment of rights made by or on	
26	behalf of such person as otherwise	

28

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{3}}$  statement of genuine disputes of material fact in response to motion for partial SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. 180) CASE NO.: 15-CV-6209 MWF (AFM)

1	CONTROVERTING FACT	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
2	required by federal law; provided further that the Trustees, in their	
3	sole discretion, may approve the payment of Benefits directly to a	
4	service provide who has provided medical care to a person with	
5	Eligibility or to any other third-party to whom such person is indebted,	
6	provided that such person shall remain primarily liable at all times	
7	with respect to payment for such medical care or other indebtedness.	
8	Dkt. 180-6 at WPBC33081-82. Dkt.	
9	180-6 at WPBC32959.	
10	The first version of Paragraph 6.24 appears on page 97 of a document	Dkt. 180-6.
11	appears on page 97 of a document entitled "Third Amended ILWU-PMA Welfare Agreement as Amended	
12	Through the Sixth Amendment Thereto Effective July 1, 1993."	
13		
14	The plan document in which the first version of Paragraph 6.24 appears	Dkt. 180-6 at WPBC32959.
15	version of Paragraph 6.24 appears is a total of 251 pages and Paragraph 6.24 is located within the	
16	Plan's "General Provisions" section.	
17	The Table of Contents for the Third Amended ILWU-PMA Welfare	Dkt. 180-6 at WPBC32859, Dkt. 180-6 at WPBC32959.
18	Agreement as Amended Through the Sixth Amendment Thereto	
19	Effective July 1, 1993 does not list an anti-assignment clause and	
20	Paragraph 6.24 does not appear in the Table of Contents.	
21	Paragraph 6.24 appears in the	Dkt. 180-6 at WPBC32959.
22	same type-set and font as the	5 100 0 dt 111 5002000.
23	surrounding text in the Third Amended ILWU-PMA Welfare Agreement as Amended Through	
24	the Sixth Amendment Thereto Effective July 1, 1993.	
25	Liteotive daily 1, 1999.	
26		

 $\ensuremath{4}$  statement of genuine disputes of material fact in response to motion for partial SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. 180) CASE NO.: 15-CV-6209 MWF (AFM)

27

28

1	CONTROVERTING FACT	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
2 3 4	Paragraph 6.24 was amended by the Tenth Amendment to the Plan Agreement, effective January 1, 2002.	Dkt. 180-5 at ¶12 (citing WPBC33081).
<ul><li>5</li><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	The 2002 amendment adopting Paragraph 6.24 does not contain a heading indicating that Paragraph 6.24 is an anti-assignment clause.	Dkt. 180-5 at ¶12 (citing WPBC33081).
8 9 10	The Plan's Table of Contents was not updated in 2002 to indicate the presence of an anti-assignment clause.	Dkt. 180-5 at ¶12 (citing WPBC33081).
11 12 13	Copies of the SPD that are publicaly available on the BPO's website at www.benefitplans.org represent that the Plan permits certain assignments as follows:	Dkt. 180-7, SPD; www.benefitplans.org
14	Assignment	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Generally, Welfare Plan benefits or the rights to receive such benefits may not be assigned to any third party other than doctors or other providers of care. However, ERISA provides that in the case of persons with coverage under a State Medicaid program, automatic assignment of benefits to State Medicaid agencies is enforceable against the Plan.	
21   22   23   24   25   26	When Plan participants and beneficiaries came to Plaintiffs for healthcare services they would present medical cards in the name of "ILWU-PMA Coastwise Indemnity Plan" with an address for submittal of claims and a toll free telephone number for questions about eligibility and benefits.	Decl. of Andrew Morris in Support of Opposition to MSJ on Negligent Misrepresentation and Fraud Claims

28

 $\ensuremath{5}$  statement of genuine disputes of material fact in response to motion for partial SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. 180) CASE NO.: 15-CV-6209 MWF (AFM)

1	CONTROVERTING FACT	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
2		
	The Plan participants and	Decl. of Andrew Morris in support of
3	beneficiaries signed assignments of benefits (AOBs) or declarations of	Opposition to MSJ on ERISA '
4	authorized representatives (DARs)	3.5
5	in connection with the Plaintiffs' provision of healthcare services.	
	The AOBs and DARs state:	
6	Legal Assignment of Reposits and	
7	Legal Assignment of Benefits and Designation Of Authorized	
8	Repřesentative	
8	In considering the amount of	
9	medical expenses to be incurred, I,	
10	the undersigned, have insurance and/or employee health care	
	benefits coverage with the above	
11	captioned, and hereby assign and convey directly to the above named	
12	healthcare provider(s), as my	
13	designated Authorized Representative(s), all medical	
	benefits and/or insurance	
14	reimbursement, if any, otherwise payable to me for services rendered	
15	from such provider(s), regardless of	
16	such provider's managed care network participation status. I	
	understand that I am financially	
17	responsible for all charges regardless of any applicable	
18	Insurance or benefit payments. I	
19	hereby authorize the above named provider(s) to release all medical	
	information necessary to process	
20	my claims under HIPAA. I hereby	
21	authorize any plan administrator or fiduciary, insurer and my attorney to	
22	release to such provider(s) any and	
	all plan documents, insurance policy and/or settlement information upon	
23	written request from such	
24	provider(s) in order to claim such medical benefits, reimbursement or	
25	any applicable remedies. I	
	authorize the use of this signature on all my insurance and/or	
26	emplovee health benefits claim	

28

6 STATEMENT OF GENUINE DISPUTES OF MATERIAL FACT IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. 180) CASE NO.: 15-CV-6209 MWF (AFM)

CONTROVERTING FACT	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
submissions.	
I hereby convey to the above named provider(s), to the full extent permissible under the law and any other applicable employee group health plan(s), insurance policies or liability claim, any claim, chose in action, or other right I may have to such group health plans, health insurance issuers or tortfeasor insurer(s) under any applicable insurance policies, employee benefits plan(s) or public policies with respect to medical expenses incurred as a result of the medical services I received from the above named provider(s), and to the full extent permissible under the law to claim or lien such medical benefits, settlement, insurance reimbursement and any applicable remedies, including, but are not limited to, (1) obtaining information about the claim to the same extent as the assignor; (2) submitting evidence; (3) making statements about facts or law; (4) making any request, or giving, or receiving any notice about appeal proceedings; and (5) any administrative and judicial actions by such provider(s) to pursue such claim, chose in action or right against any liable party or employee group health plan(s), including, if necessary, bring suit by such provider(s) against any such liable party or employee group health plan in my name with derivative standing but at such provider(s) expenses. Unless revoked, this assignment is valid for all administrative and judicial reviews under PPACA, ERISA, Medicare and applicable federal or state laws.	

 $\ensuremath{7}$  statement of genuine disputes of material fact in response to motion for partial SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. 180) CASE NO.: 15-CV-6209 MWF (AFM)

1	CONTROVERTING FACT	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
2	The Plaintiffs communicated with the Plan's Trustees and alerted	Decl. of Andrew Morris in support of Opposition to MSJ on ERISA
3	them to the existence of the AOBs and DARs. The Trustees then took	claims
4	any actions directed toward Plaintiffs that were inconsistent with	
5	or contrary to the anti-assignment provision.	
6	•	
7	The Plan verified eligibility and member benefits for members and	Decl. of Andrew Morris in Support of Opposition to MSJ on Negligent Misrepresentation and Fraud
8	beneficiaries who were treated by Plaintiff.	Misrepresentation and Fraud Claims
9	The Plan pre-authorized medical	Decl. of Andrew Morris in support of
10	The Plan pre-authorized medical procedures for members and beneficiaries who were treated by	Opposition to MSJ on ERISA claims
11	Plaintiff.	
12	Although aware of the AOBs and	Decl. of Andrew Morris in support of
13	DARs prior to suit, the Trustees did not object to the AOBs or DARs or	Decl. of Andrew Morris in support of Opposition to MSJ on ERISA claims
14	raise them as a barrier to payment until the filing of this suit.	
15	<b>3</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
22		

28

23

24

25

26

\$ Statement of genuine disputes of material fact in response to motion for partial SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. 180) CASE NO.: 15-CV-6209 MWF (AFM)

Case 2:15-cv-06209-MWF-AFM Document 201 Filed 04/11/18 Page 9 of 9 Page ID #:5517

3231306.1/SPH/31974/0102/041218